



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology

journal homepage: www.tjog-online.com

Case Report

Prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of mosaicism for a small supernumerary marker chromosome derived from chromosome 2



Chih-Ping Chen^{a, b, c, d, e, f, *}, Ming Chen^{g, h, i}, Shun-Ping Chang^{g, h}, Fang-Yu Hung^j, Meng-Ju Lee^k, Schu-Rern Chern^b, Peih-Shan Wu^l, Yen-Ni Chen^a, Shin-Wen Chen^a, Chen-Chi Lee^a, Dai-Dyi Town^a, Wen-Lin Chen^a, Wayseen Wang^{b, m}

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, MacKay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan^b Department of Medical Research, MacKay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan^c Department of Biotechnology, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan^d School of Chinese Medicine, College of Chinese Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan^e Institute of Clinical and Community Health Nursing, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan^f Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan^g Department of Medical Research, Center for Medical Genetics, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan^h Department of Genomic Medicine, Center for Medical Genetics, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwanⁱ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan^j Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, MacKay Memorial Hospital Hsinchu Branch, Hsinchu, Taiwan^k Stork Fertility Center, Hsinchu, Taiwan^l Gene Biodesign Co. Ltd, Taipei, Taiwan^m Department of Bioengineering, Tatung University, Taipei, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 12 January 2017

Keywords:

chromosome 2

mosaicism

prenatal diagnosis

small supernumerary marker chromosome

ABSTRACT

Objective: We present prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of mosaicism for a small supernumerary marker chromosome (sSMC) derived from chromosome 2.

Case Report: A 42-year-old woman underwent amniocentesis at 17 weeks of gestation because of advanced maternal age. Amniocentesis revealed a karyotype of 47,XY,+mar[10]/46,XY[12]. The parental karyotypes were normal. Array comparative genomic hybridization analysis of the DNA extracted from cultured amniocytes revealed no genomic imbalance. Spectral karyotyping analysis failed to identify the sSMC. Metaphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis using the satellite probes CEP1/5/19, CEP2, CEP3, CEP4, CEP6, CEP7, CEP8, CEP9, CEP10, CEP12, CEP13/21, CEP14/22, CEP15, CEP16, and CEP20 revealed a result of 47,XY,+mar .ish der(2)(D2Z+)[10]. The sSMC was derived from the α satellite of chromosome 2. Polymorphic DNA marker analysis using the markers specific for chromosome 2 on the DNAs extracted from cultured amniocytes and parental bloods excluded uniparental disomy 2. At 39 weeks of gestation, a healthy 3394-g male baby was delivered with no phenotypic abnormality. The cord blood had a karyotype of 47,XY,+mar[21]/46,XY[19].

Conclusion: Array comparative genomic hybridization and spectral karyotyping may fail to detect an sSMC derived from α satellite, which needs satellite probes for confirmation.

© 2017 Taiwan Association of Obstetrics & Gynecology. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

We previously report prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of mosaicism for a small supernumerary marker chromosome (sSMC) derived from r(2)(p11.1q21.2) with phenotypic abnormality [1]. Here, we additionally present prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of mosaicism

* Corresponding author. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, MacKay Memorial Hospital, 92, Section 2, Chung-Shan North Road, Taipei 10449, Taiwan.

E-mail address: cpc_mmh@yahoo.com (C.-P. Chen).

for an sSMC derived from centric chromosome 2 with no phenotypic abnormality.

Case Report

A 42-year-old woman, gravida 3, para 1, underwent amniocentesis at 17 weeks of gestation because of advanced maternal age. Amniocentesis revealed a karyotype of 47,XY,+mar[10]/46,XY[12] (Figure 1). The parental karyotypes were normal. Array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) analysis of the DNA extracted from cultured amniocytes revealed no genomic imbalance. Spectral karyotyping (SKY) analysis failed to identify the sSMC. Metaphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis using the satellite probes CEP1/5/19, CEP2, CEP3, CEP4, CEP6, CEP7, CEP8, CEP9, CEP10, CEP12, CEP13/21, CEP14/22, CEP15, CEP16, and CEP20 (Cytocell, Adderbury, Oxfordshire, UK) revealed a result of 47,XY,+mar.ish der(2)(D2Z+) [10]. The sSMC was derived from the α satellite of chromosome 2 (Figure 2). Polymorphic DNA marker analysis by quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction (QF-PCR) assays using the informative markers specific for chromosome 2 such as D2S423 (2p25.1) and D2S1325 (2p22.3) on the DNAs extracted from cultured amniocytes and parental bloods excluded uniparental disomy (UPD) 2 (Table 1). At 39 weeks of gestation, a healthy 3394-g male baby was delivered with no phenotypic abnormality. Cytogenetic analysis of the cord blood revealed a karyotype of 47,XY,+mar[21]/46,XY[19].

Discussion

Prenatal diagnosis of an sSMC(2) is very rare. The frequency of the sSMC in prenatal cases is 0.075% comparing with 0.044% in newborn cases, 0.288% in mentally retarded cases and 0.125% in subfertile cases [2]. About 72% of the sSMCs are derived from acrocentric chromosomes with the majority originated from chromosome 15 and the less frequent occurrence from chromosomes 13, 14, 21, and 22, and about 30% of the sSMCs are associated with euchromatic imbalance [2–4]. To date, at least 18 cases with

prenatally detected sSMC(2) by amniocentesis have been reported [5]. Most reported cases with the sSMC(2) but without clinical findings are +der(2)(::p11.2 or p11.1→q11.1 or q11.2 or q12.1::) or CEP2(+), however, cases with +der(2)(::p11.2→q11.2::) or +der(2)(::p11.1→q12.1::) can be associated with phenotypic abnormality [5]. Therefore, a careful screening of ultrasound abnormality and a genetic investigation of UPD 2 are necessary in cases of prenatally detected centric sSMC(2). Prenatal diagnosis of an sSMC should require molecular cytogenetic investigation such as fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, aCGH, SKY, quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction, and multicolor banding to determine the nature and the mosaic level of the sSMC, and to exclude UPD and the sSMC-related genetic syndromes such as Turner syndrome, marker chromosome 15 syndrome, supernumerary der(22)t(11;22) syndrome, cat-eye syndrome, der(22)t(8;22)(q24.1;q11.1) syndrome, isochromosome i(5p) syndrome, 9p isochromosome syndrome, tetrasomy 15qter syndrome, isochromosome 12p or Pallister–Killian syndrome, isochromosome 15q12 syndrome, isochromosome 18p syndrome, derivative-8 syndrome and derivative-13/21 syndrome [2,6].

The sSMC has been associated with human infertility. Manvelyan et al [7] found an sSMC rate of 0.125% in patients with fertility problems and an sSMC rate of 7% in males with decreased sperm parameters. Manvelyan et al [7] also observed one male and one female patients with mosaicism for sSMC(2) or r(2)(::p11.1→q11.2::) and fertility problems. Olszewska et al [8] suggested a genetic dosage and position effect of sSMC in human nuclei in infertile male patients. Olszewska et al [8] observed significant repositioning of X and Y chromosomes towards the nuclear periphery and both X and Y chromosomes being close to the sSMC, and suggested the effect of sSMC/XY colocalization on meiotic chromosome division and the consequence of abnormal chromosome segregation. Armanet et al [4] suggested a gene dosage increase effect as well as mechanical effects perturbing meiosis of sSMC on human infertility.

In summary, we present prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of mosaicism for an sSMC(2). Our






Figure 1. A karyotype of 47,XY,+mar. mar = marker chromosome.


(A) 1/5/19 (FITC)+ 6 (Texas red): negative results



(C) 8 (FITC)+ 16 (Texas red): negative results



(B) 4 (Texas red)+ 7 (FITC): negative results



(D) 9 (FITC)+ 10 (Texas red): negative results




Figure 2. Metaphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis on cultured amniocytes shows a positive result of CEP2, indicating the marker chromosome is derived from chromosome 2. (A) A negative result using CEP1/5/19 probe (FITC) and CEP6 (Texas Red) mixture, (B) a negative result using CEP4 probe (Texas Red) and CEP7 (FITC) mixture, (C) a negative result using CEP8 probe (FITC) and CEP16 (Texas Red) mixture, (D) a negative result using CEP9 probe (FITC) and CEP10 (Texas Red) mixture, (E) a negative result using CEP12 probe (Texas Red) and CEP13/21 (FITC) mixture, (F) a negative result using CEP14/22 probe (Texas Red) and CEP15 (FITC) mixture, (G) a positive result using CEP2 probe (Texas Red) and CEP3 (FITC) mixture, and (H) right: a positive result using CEP2 probe (Texas Red) and CEP20 (FITC) mixture and left: the corresponding metaphase G-banding chromosomes. FITC = fluorescein isothiocyanate. The arrow indicates the marker chromosome.




Figure 2. (continued).

Table 1
Molecular results using polymorphic DNA markers specific for chromosome 2.

Markers	Locus	Father	Mother	Fetus (cultured amniocytes)
D2S423	2p25.1	127, 127	131, 131	127, 131
D2S1243	2p24.1	150, 150	150, 150	150, 150
D2S1325	2p22.3	125, 129	125, 133	129, 133

Alleles (basepair sizes) are listed below each individual.

presentation shows that aCGH and SKY may fail to detect an sSMC derived from α satellite, which needs satellite probes for confirmation.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by research grants MOST-104-2314-B-195-009 and MOST-105-2314-B-195-012 from the Ministry of Science and Technology and MMH-E-105-04 from MacKay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.

References

- [1] Chen CP, Chen M, Chern SR, Wu PS, Chang SP, Lee DJ, et al. Prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of mosaicism for a small supernumerary marker chromosome derived from ring chromosome 2. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2012;51:411–7.
- [2] Jafari-Ghahfarokhi H, Moradi-Chaleshtori M, Liehr T, Hashemzadeh-Chaleshtori M, Teimori H, Ghasemi-Dehkordi P. Small supernumerary marker chromosomes and their correlation with specific syndromes. *Adv Biomed Res* 2015;4:140.
- [3] Liehr T, Claussen U, Starke H. Small supernumerary marker chromosomes (sSMC) in humans. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 2004;107:55–67.
- [4] Armanet N, Tosca L, Brisset S, Liehr T, Tachdjian G. Small Supernumerary marker chromosomes in human infertility. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 2015;146:100–8.
- [5] Liehr T. Small supernumerary marker chromosomes: chromosome 2. Available at <http://ssmc-tl.com/chromosome-2.html>. Accessed 09/06/2016.
- [6] Liehr T. Small supernumerary marker chromosomes—an update. *Mol Cytogenet* 2014;7(Suppl 1):111.
- [7] Manvelyan M, Riegel M, Santos M, Fuster C, Pellestor F, Mazaurik ML, et al. Thirty-two new cases with small supernumerary marker chromosomes detected in connection with fertility problems: Detailed molecular cytogenetic characterization and review of the literature. *Int J Mol Med* 2008;21:705–14.
- [8] Olszewska M, Wanowska E, Kishore A, Huleyuk N, Georgiadis AP, Yatsenko AN, et al. Genetic dosage and position effect of small supernumerary marker chromosome (sSMC) in human sperm nuclei in infertile male patient. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:17408.